Municipal Performance Measurement Program - 2006 RESULTS

## **Local Government**

	1.1 GE	NERAL GOVER	NMENT – EFFI	CIENCY	
	2006	2005	2004	2003	
	22.2%	21.5%	22%	22.2%	
Efficiency Mea Operating costs operating costs	s for governance	and corporate n	nanagement as	a percentage of	total municipal
<b>Objective</b> Efficient munici	pal government.				
Notes					
SLC 91 0203 13 F	inancial Information	Return.			

## **Fire Services**

	2.1	I FIRE SERVIC	ES – EFFICIEN	CY	
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
	\$0.56	\$0.53	\$0.58	\$0.63	\$0.58
Efficiency Mea Operating costs		s per \$1,000 of a	ssessment.		
<b>Objective</b> Efficient fire set	rvices.				
Notes					
SLC 91 1101 13 F	inancial Information	Return.			

Municipal Performance Measurement Program - 2006 RESULTS

## **Police Services**

	3.	POLICE SERV	CES – EFFICIEI		
	2006	2005	2004	2003	
	\$111.04	\$100.99	\$157.86	\$131.05	
Efficiency Me Operating cost	<b>asure</b> is for police servi	ces per househo	old.		
<b>Objective</b> Efficient police	services.				
Notes					
SI C 91 1202 13 F	inancial Information	Return			
			<b>CRIME RATE</b>		
	2006	2005	2004	2003	
	\$8.49	\$3.42	\$3.93	\$3.48	
Provide Safe c					
Notes	Financial Information				
Notes	inancial Information	3.3 PROPERT	Y CRIME RATE	2002	
Notes	inancial Information	3.3 PROPERT 2005	2004	<b>2003</b> \$13.91	
Notes SLC 92 1258 07 F Effectiveness Property crime Objective	inancial Information 2006 \$17.40 Measure rate per 1,000 p	<b>3.3 PROPERT</b> <b>2005</b> \$18.40		<b>2003</b> \$13.91	
Notes SLC 92 1258 07 F Effectiveness Property crime	inancial Information 2006 \$17.40 Measure rate per 1,000 p	<b>3.3 PROPERT</b> <b>2005</b> \$18.40	2004		

	0007		CRIME RATE	
2006	2005	2004	2003	
\$38.20	\$27.38	\$26.19	\$40.43	
ctiveness	Measure			
	e per 1,000 pers	ons (Criminal Co	nde offences ex	cluding
				oraanig
bjective	<b>.</b>			
ovide Safe (	Communities.			
otes				
SLC 92 1263 07 I	-inancial Information	Return.		
SLC 92 1263 07	Financial Information			
		3.5 YOUTH	S CHARGED	
2006	2005	3.5 YOUTH 2004	2003	_
		3.5 YOUTH		
<b>2006</b> \$11.77	<b>2005</b> \$7.84	3.5 YOUTH 2004	2003	_
2006 \$11.77 ffectiveness	2005 \$7.84 Measure	<b>3.5 YOUTH</b> <b>2004</b> \$17.65	2003	-
<b>2006</b> \$11.77 ffectiveness	<b>2005</b> \$7.84	<b>3.5 YOUTH</b> <b>2004</b> \$17.65	2003	-
2006 \$11.77 ffectiveness umber of you	2005 \$7.84 Measure	<b>3.5 YOUTH</b> <b>2004</b> \$17.65	2003	_
2006 \$11.77 iffectiveness lumber of you	2005 \$7.84 Measure oths charged per	<b>3.5 YOUTH</b> <b>2004</b> \$17.65	2003	_
2006 \$11.77 iffectiveness lumber of you	2005 \$7.84 Measure oths charged per	<b>3.5 YOUTH</b> <b>2004</b> \$17.65	2003	_
2006 \$11.77 Effectiveness Number of you Dbjective	2005 \$7.84 Measure oths charged per	<b>3.5 YOUTH</b> <b>2004</b> \$17.65	2003	-
2006 \$11.77 Effectiveness Number of you Dbjective Provide Safe (	2005 \$7.84 Measure oths charged per	<b>3.5 YOUTH</b> <b>2004</b> \$17.65	2003	
2006 \$11.77 Effectiveness Number of you Dbjective Provide Safe (	2005 \$7.84 Measure oths charged per	<b>3.5 YOUTH</b> <b>2004</b> \$17.65	2003	
2006 \$11.77 Effectiveness	2005 \$7.84 Measure oths charged per	<b>3.5 YOUTH</b> <b>2004</b> \$17.65	2003	
2006 \$11.77 Effectiveness Number of you Dbjective Provide Safe (	2005 \$7.84 Measure oths charged per	<b>3.5 YOUTH</b> <b>2004</b> \$17.65	2003	
2006 \$11.77 fectiveness umber of you bjective rovide Safe ( otes	2005 \$7.84 Measure oths charged per	3.5 YOUTH 2004 \$17.65	2003	

## Roads

ITUaus	A_*	1 PAVED ROA	DS - FEEICIEN	CY	
2006	2005	2004	2003		
\$163.68	\$148.72	\$119.92	\$119.00		
Efficiency Mean Operating costs		l top) roads per	lane kilometer.		
<b>Objective</b> Efficient mainter	nance of paved	roads.			
Notes					
SLC 91 2105 13 Fir					
	4.2	UNPAVED RO	ADS – EFFICIE	NCY	
2006	2005	2004	2003	_	
\$1,210.02	\$1,094.60	\$929.42	\$917.36		
Efficient mainter					
SLC 91 2106 13 Fir					
2006	2005	MAINTENANC 2004	2003	EFFICIENCY	
\$695.94	\$624.83	\$513.11	\$500.60		
Efficiency Mean Operating costs Objective Efficient winter r	for winter main		ways per lane ki	lometre mainta	ained in winter.
Notes					
SLC 91 2203 13 Fir	nancial Information	Return.			

	4.4		F PAVED ROA	DS	
2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	
100%	100%	100%	61.5%	61.49%	
Effectiveness Percentage of		neters where the	condition is rate	ed as good to ver	y good.
Objective Pavement cond	dition meets mur	nicipal objectives			
Notes					
SLC 92 2152 07 F	inancial Information	Return.			
	4.	5 WINTER EVE	NT RESPONSE	S	
2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		ere the response ance.	e met or exceed	ed locally detern	nined municipal
<b>Objective</b> Appropriate res	ponse to winter	storm events.			
Notes					
Notes					

Operating costs for the collection of wastewater per kilometers of wastewater m         Objective         Efficient municipal wastewater collection services.         SLC 91 3106 13 Financial Information Return.         6.2 WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL – EFFICIEN         2006       2005       2004       2003       2002         \$858.93       \$999.63       \$166.56       \$303.00       \$265.00         Efficiency Measure	
Efficiency Measure Operating costs for the collection of wastewater per kilometers of wastewater m Objective Efficient municipal wastewater collection services. SLC 91 3106 13 Financial Information Return. 6.2 WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL – EFFICIEN 2006 2005 2004 2003 2002 \$858.93 \$999.63 \$166.56 \$303.00 \$265.00 Efficiency Measure	
fficient municipal wastewater collection services. LC 91 3106 13 Financial Information Return. 6.2 WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL – EFFICIEN 2006 2005 2004 2003 2002 \$858.93 \$999.63 \$166.56 \$303.00 \$265.00 fficiency Measure	CY
6.2 WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL – EFFICIEN           2006         2005         2004         2003         2002           \$858.93         \$999.63         \$166.56         \$303.00         \$265.00           Efficiency Measure         ************************************	СҮ
20062005200420032002\$858.93\$999.63\$166.56\$303.00\$265.00Efficiency Measure	CY
\$858.93 \$999.63 \$166.56 \$303.00 \$265.00 Efficiency Measure	
Efficiency Measure Operating costs for the treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre.	4
Notes: 2004 was incorrectly recorded as cubic yards rather than megalitres One megalitre equals 1,000,000 litres or 1,000 cubic metres. SLC 91 3104 13 Financial Information Return.	
6.3         WASTEWATER INTEGRATED SYSTEM – EFFICIENCY           2006         2005         2004         2003         2002	
<u>N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A</u>	4

l l					
	6.4	WASTEWATE	R MAIN BACK	UPS	
2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	
0	0	0	0	0	1
mannelpai eene		II DIACHCES DIEVE	nt environment		alth hazards.
Notes SLC 92 3154 07 F	inancial Information	Return.			ealth hazards.
SLC 92 3154 07 F	inancial Information 6.5 WA	Return.	PASSES TRE	ATMENT	alth hazards.
SLC 92 3154 07 F <b>2006</b>	inancial Information 6.5 WA 2005	Return.	PASSES TRE/ 2003	ATMENT 2002	alth hazards.
SLC 92 3154 07 F 2006 0% Effectiveness	inancial Information 6.5 WA 2005 0% Measure	Return. <b>STEWATER BY</b> 2004 0%	<b>'PASSES TRE/</b> 2003 0%	ATMENT 2002 0%	ealth hazards.
SLC 92 3154 07 F 2006 0% Effectiveness	inancial Information 6.5 WA 2005 0% Measure	Return.	<b>'PASSES TRE/</b> 2003 0%	ATMENT 2002 0%	alth hazards.
SLC 92 3154 07 F 2006 0% Effectiveness Percentage of v Objective	inancial Information 6.5 WA 2005 0% Measure wastewater estir	Return. <b>STEWATER BY</b> 2004 0%	PASSES TRE/ 2003 0%	ATMENT 2002 0% ent.	
SLC 92 3154 07 F 2006 0% Effectiveness Percentage of v Objective	inancial Information 6.5 WA 2005 0% Measure wastewater estir	Return. <b>STEWATER BY</b> <b>2004</b> 0% nated to have by	PASSES TRE/ 2003 0%	ATMENT 2002 0% ent.	

## **Drinking Water**

		(ING WATER TR			
2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Objective		nt of drinking wat	ter per megalitre	).	
Notes	·				
	inancial Information				
		NG WATER DIS		FFICIENCY	
2006	<b>2005</b>	2004	<b>2003</b>		
\$4.97	\$4.87	\$4.72	\$3.01		
D <b>bjective</b> Efficient munic	ipal water distrib	tion of drinking w ution services.			
Objective Efficient munic Notes SLC 91 3306 13 F	ipal water distrib	ution services.			
Objective Efficient munic Notes SLC 91 3306 13 F	ipal water distrib inancial Information 8.3 DRINKING	ution services. Return.	ATED SYSTEM	I – EFFICIENC)	
Objective Efficient munic Notes SLC 91 3306 13 F	ipal water distrib	ution services.			
Objective Efficient munic Notes SLC 91 3306 13 F 2006 N/A Efficiency Mea Operating cost (Integrated Sys Objective	ipal water distrib	ution services. Return. WATTER INTEGR 2004	ATED SYSTEM 2003 N/A n of drinking wa	1 – <b>EFFICIENCY</b> 2002 N/A	

		8.4 BOIL WATE		S	
2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	
0	0	0	0	0	
	per of days wher	n a boil water adv supply, was in e		the Medical Offi	cer of Health,
<b>Objective</b> Water is safe a	nd meets local n	ieeds.			
Notes					
SLC 92 3355 07 F 2006	inancial Information { <b>2005</b>	Return. <b>3.5 BREAKS IN</b> <b>2004</b>	WATER MAIN 2003	S 2002	
0	0	0	0	0	1
Effectiveness Number of wate Objective Improve system	er main breaks p	per 100 kilometre	es of water distri	bution pipe in a y	/ear.
Notes					
SLC 92 3356 07 F	inancial Information	Return.			

## Solid Waste Management (Garbage)

	9.1 GA	<b>ARBAGE COLLE</b>			
2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Objective	a <b>sure</b> s for garbage co pal garbage coll	·	e or per househo	old.	
Notes					
SLC 91 3402 13 F	inancial Information	Return.			
		ARBAGE DISP	OSAL – EFFICI		
2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	
\$105.22	\$99.63	\$123.38	\$96.48	\$160.76	
Operating costs <b>Objective</b> Efficient munici	asure s for garbage dis ipal garbage disp		hold.		
Operating costs Objective Efficient munici Notes SLC 91 3503 13 F	s for garbage dis ipal garbage disp inancial Information	nosal services. Return.			
Operating costs <b>Objective</b> Efficient munici <b>Notes</b> SLC 91 3503 13 F	s for garbage dis ipal garbage disp inancial Information 9.3 SOLID WA	nosal services. Return. STE DIVERSIOI	N (RECYCLING)		
Operating costs Objective Efficient munici Notes SLC 91 3503 13 F	s for garbage dis ipal garbage disp inancial Information	nosal services. Return.		- EFFICIENCY 2002 \$48.99	
Objective Efficient munici Notes SLC 91 3503 13 F 2006 \$12.98 Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective	s for garbage dis ipal garbage disp inancial Information <b>9.3 SOLID WA 2005</b> \$14.29	Return. STE DIVERSIOI 2004 \$19.87 diversion (recyc	N (RECYCLING) 2003 \$20.94 ling) per househ	<b>2002</b> \$48.99	

2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
<b>U</b> 1	<b>asure</b> ting costs for sol ousehold. <b>(Spec</b> i		ement (collection	n, disposal and	diversion) per
<b>Dbjective</b> Efficient munic	ipal solid waste ı	management (In	tegrated System	).	
Notes					
SEC 91 3003 13 F		netum.			
	9.5 COMF	PLAINTS — CO AND RECYCLI	LLECTION OF		
2006	9.5 COMF 2005	PLAINTS — CO AND RECYCLI 2004	ED MATERIALS 2003	2002	
	9.5 COMF	PLAINTS — CO AND RECYCLI	ED MATERIALS		_
2006 1.257 Effectiveness Number of con materials per 1 Objective	<b>9.5 COMF 2005</b> 0	PLAINTS — CO AND RECYCLI 2004 1.2862 in a year conce	ED MATERIALS 2003 0.6361 erning the collection	0 0 on of garbage	and recycled
1.257 Effectiveness Number of con materials per 1 Objective	9.5 COMF 2005 0 Measure nplaints received ,000 households	PLAINTS — CO AND RECYCLI 2004 1.2862 in a year conce	ED MATERIALS 2003 0.6361 erning the collection	0 0 on of garbage	and recycled

2006	2005	2004	20	03	2002		
3	3	3		3	3		
Effectiveness	Measure						
	of solid waste mai		lities owne	ed by mur	icipality w	vith a Mini	stry of
Environment C	ertificate of Appro	oval.					
Objective Context for sol	id waste manage	ment facility c	ompliance	measure			
Notes							
SLC 92 3552 07 F	inancial Information	Return.					
		9.7 FACILIT	Y COMPL	IANCE			
concorning on	air or aroundwate	or standard wa	ne in offoo	t for a mu	nicinally o		diation
management f	air or groundwate acility, by facility.	er standard wa	as in effec	t for a mui	nicipally o		
management fa						wned soli	
management fa	acility, by facility. waste services c					wned soli	
management f Objective Municipal solid Facility Name	acility, by facility. waste services c		adverse Days	impact on <b>Days</b>	environm	wned soli nent. Days	d waste
management f Objective Municipal solid Facility Name Red Rock Was	acility, by facility. waste services c	lo not have an	adverse Days 2006	impact on Days 2005	environm Days 2004	wned soli ient. Days 2003	d waste Days 2002
management f Objective Municipal solid Facility Name Red Rock Was	acility, by facility. waste services c ste Disposal Site aste Disposal Site	lo not have an	adverse Days 2006 0	impact on Days 2005 0	environm Days 2004 77	wned soli hent. <b>Days</b> 2003 0	d waste Days 2002 0
management f Objective Municipal solid Facility Name Red Rock Was Round Lake W	acility, by facility. waste services c ste Disposal Site aste Disposal Site	lo not have an	adverse Days 2006 0 0	impact on Days 2005 0 0	environm <b>Days</b> <b>2004</b> 77 77	wned soli ient. <b>Days</b> 2003 0 0	d waste Days 2002 0 0
management f Objective Municipal solid Facility Name Red Rock Was Round Lake W	acility, by facility. waste services c ste Disposal Site aste Disposal Site	lo not have an	adverse Days 2006 0 0	impact on Days 2005 0 0	environm <b>Days</b> <b>2004</b> 77 77	wned soli ient. <b>Days</b> 2003 0 0	d waste Days 2002 0 0
management f Objective Municipal solid Facility Name Red Rock Was Round Lake W	acility, by facility. waste services c ste Disposal Site aste Disposal Site	lo not have an	adverse Days 2006 0 0	impact on Days 2005 0 0	environm <b>Days</b> <b>2004</b> 77 77	wned soli ient. <b>Days</b> 2003 0 0	d waste Days 2002 0 0
management f Objective Municipal solid Facility Name Red Rock Was Round Lake W	acility, by facility. waste services c ste Disposal Site aste Disposal Site	lo not have an	adverse Days 2006 0 0	impact on Days 2005 0 0	environm <b>Days</b> <b>2004</b> 77 77	wned soli ient. <b>Days</b> 2003 0 0	d waste Days 2002 0 0
management f Objective Municipal solid Facility Name Red Rock Was Round Lake W	acility, by facility. waste services c ste Disposal Site aste Disposal Site	lo not have an	adverse Days 2006 0 0	impact on Days 2005 0 0	environm <b>Days</b> <b>2004</b> 77 77	wned soli ient. <b>Days</b> 2003 0 0	d waste Days 2002 0 0
management f Objective Municipal solid Facility Name Red Rock Was Round Lake W	acility, by facility. waste services c ste Disposal Site aste Disposal Site	lo not have an	adverse Days 2006 0 0	impact on Days 2005 0 0	environm <b>Days</b> <b>2004</b> 77 77	wned soli ient. <b>Days</b> 2003 0 0	d waste Days 2002 0 0
management fr Objective Municipal solid Facility Name Red Rock Was Round Lake W Killaloe Waste	acility, by facility. waste services c ste Disposal Site aste Disposal Site	lo not have an	adverse <b>Days</b> <b>2006</b> 0 0	impact on Days 2005 0 0	environm <b>Days</b> <b>2004</b> 77 77	wned soli ient. <b>Days</b> 2003 0 0	d waste Days 2002 0 0
management fa Objective Municipal solid Facility Name Red Rock Was Round Lake W Killaloe Waste	acility, by facility. waste services c ste Disposal Site aste Disposal Site	lo not have an	adverse <b>Days</b> <b>2006</b> 0 0	impact on Days 2005 0 0	environm <b>Days</b> <b>2004</b> 77 77	wned soli ient. <b>Days</b> 2003 0 0	d waste Days 2002 0 0

2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Effectiveness	Measure				
Percentage of I	residential solid	waste diverted fo	or recycling.		
Objective					
•	waste reduction	programs diver	waste from lan	dfills and/or inci	nerators.
		1 5			
Notes					
SLC 92 3655 07 F	inancial Information	Return.			
	99 DIVE	RSION OF RES	DENTIAL SOL	ID WASTE	
(Based on C	Combined Resid				hal Tonnad
		2004	2003	2002	
2006	2005	2004	2000		
<b>2006</b> 34.6%	<b>2005</b> 16.1%	15.2%	27%	21.45%	
34.6%	16.1%			21.45%	
34.6% Effectiveness	16.1% Measure	15.2%	27%	21.45%	
34.6% Effectiveness Percentage of r	16.1%	15.2%	27%	<u></u>	 .)
34.6% Effectiveness Percentage of r (based on com	16.1% Measure residential solid	15.2%	27%	<u></u>	.)
34.6% Effectiveness Percentage of r (based on com Objective	16.1% Measure residential solid	15.2% waste diverted fo and Industrial/C	27% or recycling Commercial/Insti	tutional tonnage	
34.6% Effectiveness Percentage of r (based on com Objective Municipal solid	16.1% Measure residential solid v bined residential waste reduction	15.2% waste diverted fo and Industrial/C programs divert	27% or recycling Commercial/Insti t waste from lan	tutional tonnage dfills and/or inci	nerators.
34.6% Effectiveness Percentage of r (based on com Objective Municipal solid Notes: Better rec	16.1% <b>Measure</b> residential solid v bined residential waste reduction cord keeping and ed	15.2% waste diverted fo and Industrial/C programs divert	27% or recycling commercial/Insti : waste from lan r recycling. New ite	tutional tonnage dfills and/or inci ems introduced into	nerators.
34.6% Effectiveness Percentage of r (based on com Objective Municipal solid Notes: Better rec	16.1% Measure residential solid v bined residential waste reduction	15.2% waste diverted fo and Industrial/C programs divert	27% or recycling commercial/Insti : waste from lan r recycling. New ite	tutional tonnage dfills and/or inci ems introduced into	nerators.
34.6% Effectiveness Percentage of r (based on com Objective Municipal solid Notes: Better rec Introduction of \$1.0	16.1% <b>Measure</b> residential solid v bined residential waste reduction cord keeping and ed	15.2% waste diverted fo and Industrial/C programs divert ucation programs fo Id garbage, incentiv	27% or recycling commercial/Insti : waste from lan r recycling. New ite	tutional tonnage dfills and/or inci ems introduced into	nerators.

## Parks and Recreation

2006		10.1 PARKS	5 – EFFICIENCY	
	2005	2004		
N/A	N/A	N/A		
Efficiency Mea	<b>isure</b> s for parks per pe	erson.		
<b>Objective</b> Efficient operati	ion of parks.			
Notes				
SLC 91 7101 13 Fi	inancial Information			
2006	10.2 R 2005	<u>ECREATION PF</u> 2004	ROGRAMS – EFFICIENCY	
\$1.54	\$1.02	\$0.72		
Objective Efficient operati Notes	ion of recreation	programs.		
participating in reci 2006	10.3 R 2005	ECREATION F/ 2004	ACILITIES – EFFICIENCY	ot the population
2006 \$18.43 Efficiency Mea	reation programs. <b>10.3 R</b> <b>2005</b> \$15.03 asure	ECREATION F/ 2004 \$15.70	ACILITIES – EFFICIENCY	ot the population
2006 \$18.43 Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective	reation programs. <b>10.3 R</b> <b>2005</b> \$15.03	ECREATION F 2004 \$15.70 acilities per pers	ACILITIES – EFFICIENCY	ot the population
2006 \$18.43 Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective	reation programs. 10.3 R 2005 \$15.03 asure s for recreation fa	ECREATION F 2004 \$15.70 acilities per pers	ACILITIES – EFFICIENCY	ot the population

10.4 PARKS, RECREATION PROGRAMS AND RECREATION FACILITIES (SUBTOTAL) -**EFFICIENCY** 2006 2005 2004 \$19.97 \$16.04 \$16.42 **Efficiency Measure** Operating costs for parks, recreation programs and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal). Objective Efficient operation of parks, recreation programs and recreation facilities. Notes SLC 91 7302 13 Financial Information Return. This measure represents a subtotal and is automatically completed when a municipality reports one or more efficiency measures for parks and recreation. **10.5 PARTICIPANT HOURS FOR RECREATION PROGRAMS** 2006 2005 2004 76.401 77.022 78.568 **Effectiveness Measure** Total participant hours for recreation programs per 1,000 persons. Objective Recreation programs serve needs of residents. Notes SLC 92 7255 07 Financial Information Return. The denominator of this measure is total population divided by 1,000 and does not represent the number of participants in recreation programs.

		10.6 OPE	N SPACE	
2006	2005	2004		
10	10	10		
Effectiveness Hectares of op				
<b>Objective</b> Open space is	adequate for pop	oulation.		
SLC 92 7151 05 F	inancial Information	Return.		
2006	2005	2004		
4.244	4.279	4.365		
Effectiveness Hectares of op	<b>Measure</b> en space per 1,0	00 persons.		
SLC 92 7151 07 F	inancial Information	Return.		
Notes				

10.7 TRAILS 2006 2005 2004 0 0 0 **Effectiveness Measure** Total kilometres of trails. Objective Trails provide recreation opportunities. SLC 92 7152 05 Financial Information Return. 2006 2005 2004 0 0 0 **Effectiveness Measure** Total kilometres of trails per 1,000 persons. SLC 92 7152 07 Financial Information Return. Notes

0006			V FACILITY SP	AGE	
2006	2005	2004			
1,348.472	1,359.435	1,386.731			
Effectiveness	Measure				
Square metres	of municipally o	wned recreation	facility space p	er 1,000 person	S
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			, <b> </b>	
Objective					
Recreation fac	ility space is ade	quate for popula	ition.		
SI C 92 7355 05 F	inancial Information	Beturn			
		netum.			
	•				
2006	2005	2004			
<b>2006</b> 4.244	<b>2005</b> 4.279	<b>2004</b> 4.365			
4.244	4.279				
4.244 Effectiveness	4.279 Measure	4.365			
4.244 Effectiveness	4.279	4.365	ed per 1,000 pe	rsons.	
4.244 Effectiveness Total hectares	4.279 <b>Measure</b> of open space, r	4.365 nunicipally owne	ed per 1,000 pe	rsons.	
4.244 Effectiveness Total hectares	4.279 Measure	4.365 nunicipally owne	ed per 1,000 pe	rsons.	
4.244 Effectiveness Total hectares SLC 92 7355 07 F	4.279 <b>Measure</b> of open space, r	4.365 nunicipally owne	ed per 1,000 pe	rsons.	
4.244 Effectiveness Total hectares	4.279 <b>Measure</b> of open space, r	4.365 nunicipally owne	ed per 1,000 pe	rsons.	

## Parks and Recreation

	11.1 LIBR	ARY COSTS P
2006	2005	2004
\$20.48	\$15.89	\$19.77
Efficiency Mea	asure	
Operating cost	s for libraries pe	r person.
Objective		
Efficient library	services.	
Notes		
NOICES		
	inancial Information	Doturn
SLC 91 7401 13 F		
		BRARY COSTS
2006	2005	2004
\$1.80	\$1.55	\$2.28
Efficiency Mea	asure	
	s for libraries pe	r use.
Objective		
	services	
Efficient library		
Efficient library		

		11.3 LIB	RARY USES	
2006	2005	2004		
11.412	10.221	8.656		
Effectiveness Library uses pe				
<b>Objective</b> Increased use of	of library service	S.		
Notes				
SLC 92 7460 07 Fi	nancial Information			
2006	11 2005	4 ELECTRON 2004	IC LIBRARY USES	
5.0%	6.0%	3.0%	-	
0.070	0.070	5.070		
Notes	of library service			
SLC 92 7461 07 Fi	nancial Information			
2006	11.5 2005	<u>NON-ELECII:</u> 2004	ONIC LIBRARY USES	
95%	94%	97%	-	
Effectiveness Non-electronic		percentage of	total library uses.	
<b>Objective</b> Better informati	on on library usa	age.		
Notes				
SI C 92 7462 07 E	nancial Information	Return		

## Land Use Planning

		OCATION OF	1		
2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
bjective			II approval which a S.	re located withir	i settlement area
lotes					
	ancial Information F				
			CULTURAL LAN		004
2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
bjective reservation of a	reporting year. agricultural land		·		gnated for othe
Dbjective Preservation of a lotes	agricultural land.	Return.			
Pbjective Preservation of a lotes LC 92 8163 07 Fin 12.3	agricultural land	Return. I <b>N OF AGRICU</b>	LTURAL LAND	RELATIVE TO	
Pbjective reservation of a lotes LC 92 8163 07 Fin 12.3 2006	agricultural land. nancial Information F PRESERVATIO 2005	Return. IN OF AGRICU 2004	LTURAL LAND 2003	RELATIVE TO 2002	
Dbjective Preservation of a Notes BLC 92 8163 07 Fin 12.3 2006 N/A	agricultural land. nancial Information F PRESERVATIO 2005 N/A	Return. I <b>N OF AGRICU</b>	LTURAL LAND	RELATIVE TO	
<b>Dbjective</b> Preservation of a <b>Notes</b> SLC 92 8163 07 Fin <b>12.3 2006</b> N/A         Effectiveness M         Percentage of lauses relative to to <b>Dbjective</b>	agricultural land ancial Information F PRESERVATIO 2005 N/A	Return. IN OF AGRICU 2004 N/A or agricultural p 2000.	LTURAL LAND 2003	RELATIVE TO 2002 N/A	2000

12.4 C	HANGE IN NUM	BER OF AGRIC	CULTURAL HE	CTARES DURIN	NG 2006
2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Measure tares of land orig for other uses du	, , ,	•	purposes which	ı was
	f agricultural land	d.			
Notes					
12.5	inancial Information	MBER OF AGRI			E 2002
2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	-
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Effectiveness	Measure				
	tares of land orig for other uses sir			purposes which	n was
<b>Objective</b> Preservation o	f agricultural land	d.			
Notes					
SLC 92 8166 07 F		<b>D</b> .			