

October 5, 2011

Special Meeting

6:30 PM

The Council for the Township of Killaloe, Hagarty and Richards met on the above date with Councillors Isabel O'Reilly, John Jeffrey, Ernie Cybulski, Stanley Pecoskie, Carl Kuehl and Debbie Peplinskie present. Mayor Janice Visneskie chaired the meeting, which she opened and called to order.

Pecuniary/Financial Interest: No pecuniary/financial interest was declared by any of the Council members present.

Purpose of Meeting: To review information relating to the Municipal Solid Waste Management Strategic Plan and to discuss requests from SPBCRSA for an amendment to the agreement relating to the requirement for insurance, even when they are not working on the corridor.

Delegations: Rob Norris, President of the RLPOA, was present and was invited to address Council. Mr. Norris advised that he had been asked by the association to address issues in the waste management questionnaire that was sent to all property owners in 2011. He advised that the issues that he was bringing to the table were the result of a review and the following response was formulated by the members of the RLPOA:

- In favour of using clear bags. Bag limits are not an issue, and it is also acceptable to increase the cost of the tag fee.
- More education should be provided to users of the waste management system.
- Advertise more about local clothing drop locations in municipal waste management ads or have drop off bins at the waste sites.
- Didn't choose one of the waste management solutions outlined in the questionnaire as RLPOA membership could do this on their own, but geographical needs have to be considered.
- Don't look at a reduction in services to RLPOA members. If service delivery has to be modified, do not make a drastic cut in services.
- Continue with long term consideration of incineration and if this becomes a realistic option in the future, formulate the long range plan so that you have the ability to adjust to that.
- Keep in mind the seasonal population and keep current transfer stations open.
- Consider the need for by-law enhancement to go with strategic plan so that fines are in place to address things like disposal of waste in areas other than the approved site.
- If people collectively start talking about expanding the waste management site, capital dollars should continue to be put into the transfer stations to address future needs.
- The cost of providing waste management services should not be based on property values, but should be applied to each property or roll number so it is distributed equally around the township. Property owners feel it is time council helps level the playing field with respect to charging everyone equally for waste management, not putting the cost on seasonal property owners whose assessment has increased, and who may only be in the municipality 6-8 weeks out of the year. Waste costs should not be in the tax rate, it should be a separate line so that people can see the true cost and be part of the solution. If we continue to raise taxes based on assessment, people will no longer be able to afford lakefront properties.

Mayor Visneskie thanked Mr. Norris for his presentation.

Councillor Kuehl addressed several requests that had been made by Mr. Norris and Mr. Bohart on behalf of the SPBCRSA at the June 20, 2011 Road Committee Meeting. Council responded as follows:

- Request that the township contact MNR on behalf of SPBCRSA re: agreement for a large culvert instead of a bridge on the corridor – MNR in Pembroke have advised Don Bohart that they do not deal with this type of approval. It is Council's understanding that the SPBCRSA have engineers preparing drawings for this structure.
- Assistance with applications for funding – The municipality cannot commit to this as the municipality may also require this type of financial assistance and would not want to commit to a funding agreement that may decrease their ability to access funding for a project of their own.
- Local Improvement Charge – Council did not support a local improvement charge for the construction of the corridor.
- SPBCRSA request to drop insurance coverage when not working on the corridor – Council agreed to waive the requirement that the insurance be in place at all times, however, an agreement has to be drawn up that stipulates that the waiver of insurance

only applies when the SPBCRSA and/or their agents/representatives are not conducting work of any kind on the corridor.

Mr. Norris thanked Council and left the table.

Tyler Peters of Greenview Environmental was present and was invited to address Council. He reviewed the draft memo dated September 27, 2011 with them and presented the waste management questionnaire summary results from 2009 and 2011. There were over 500 responses to the 2011 questionnaire, and they were summarized by Mr. Peters as follows:

- 61% respondents are permanent residents, 35% seasonal and 4% other.
- Average of 2.3 people per household.
- 68% manage waste and recycling at Killaloe Site, 25% at Red Rock, 24% at Round Lake Site, 10% curbside (Village of Killaloe), 27% use HHW days, 30% backyard Composting, 14% backyard burning, 10% other, e.g. take to home municipality (seasonal resident).
- 87% of respondents agreed that overall, facilities and services provided by the township are sufficient for managing their waste disposal needs. 13% said they were not.
- 87% of respondents participate in blue box recycling, 11 don't.
- 14% of respondents have curbside collection, 86% don't. 22% said they would recycle more if collection existed, 78% said they would not.
- 86% of respondents do not participate in the township's organic waste diversion program, 14% do participate.
- 59% of respondents backyard compost organic waste and yard waste at their residence and 41% do not.
- 81% of respondents would not be willing to pay for an enhanced recycling system, e.g. additional materials accepted, expanded curb-side collection, and 19% were not.
- 70% of respondents were not in favour of a mandatory clear bag policy and/or bag limits and/or increased bag tag cost, while 30% were in favour.
- Could more be done to improve diversion – 50% yes; 50% no. Suggestions: education; simplify recycling program/system; township-wide curb-side collection, clear bags, more pressure on industry (packaging).
- Long term waste management alternatives: Killaloe Landfill site expansion – 58%; Round Lake Landfill site utilization-7%; Lafleche Environmental – 5%; Lafleche Environmental with no transfer stations at Round Lake and Red Rock sites – 13%; Export to Ottawa Valley Waste Recovery Centre – 2%.
- If preference is for export outside of the township, would you be in favour of a single user-friendly waste and recycling depot and transfer station at the Killaloe Waste Disposal Site on Mask Road, closure of waste transfer stations at Round Lake and Red Rock, saving estimated \$125,000 in capital costs and \$25,000 annually in operating costs, on average savings of \$7500 annually – 61% yes; 39% no.
- 88% of respondents are satisfied with the efforts which the Township is undertaking in developing a waste management strategic plan, 12% are not. If no, what in your opinion could be done to improve level of service offered: Response - Longer weekend hours for cottagers, more MSHW days, curbside pick up for entire township, improved training for township employees.
- Comments important to any part of the Municipal Solid Waste Management Strategic Plan: Allocation based on household rather than assessment; taxes too high; engage and educate the public regularly re: update website regularly, costs, where recyclables go, etc.; more MHSW days, incentives rather than fees.

Mr. Peters then reviewed the recommendations contained in the draft memo dated September 27, 2011 from Greenview Environmental as follows:

#1 For residual waste management, the township should consider the following two (2) options in moving forward with the project:

- A) Landfill – expansion at Killaloe Waste Disposal Site
- B) Export – Waste export to Lafleche Environmental

#2 In considering the alternatives in #1, further study in the Landfill/Killaloe site expansion alternative/option should be undertaken as per the recommendations of the draft General Work Plan report.

#3 A detailed project cost estimate for the planning and initial capital costs should be developed in the consideration of both potential solutions, as the landfill solution has much more comprehensive planning and approval requirements and timelines prior to the implementation stage, in accordance with the Environmental Assessment Act (EAA).

#4 Further to a recommendation for project cost examinations for project implementation requirements moving forward, projected schedules or timelines for implementation also need to be evaluated, with due consideration of currently-approved disposal capacity remaining at the Killaloe Site.

#5 Once the Township determines a preferred approach in managing residual waste for the project, supplemental consultation with MOE will be beneficial to confirm the permitting and approvals process for the selected approach moving forward.

#6 Considering the limited, approved capacity available at the Township's Killaloe Site, time is of the essence in maintaining progress with this important project.

Asked to expand on recommendation #3, Mr. Peters advised that his firm had reviewed all costs to evaluate all options. MOE has confirmed that an export option would still require an amendment to the Certificate of Approval, but this would be less onerous and take less time than the landfill option. With landfill, it depends on how many cubic metres of waste is to be landfilled, and over a 20-year period, it would be very close, if not over, the 40,000 cubic metre threshold that requires a more comprehensive environmental screening process. Further evaluation is required before it can be determined whether or not the municipality would exceed that threshold. If it is under the 40,000 cubic metre threshold, there is still an environmental screening process required, which could take 1-2 years. For export there are minor technical studies as opposed to approvals.

Mr. Peters also advised that it is important that the plan be flexible so that the municipality could take advantage of other viable options that may become available, however, anything new would require MOE approvals as well, and this takes time.

Council agreed to review the information that has been presented to them, and to hold a Special Meeting on October 19, 2011 at 6:30 PM to discuss this matter further. Mr. Peters advised that he would like to conduct an additional technical review of the landfill option before another meeting, however, this has not been included in the budgeted amount for this project. This would require a review by a hydrogeologist to determine the environmental impact. Council approved an expenditure for this purpose, not to exceed \$3000. Council thanked Mr. Peters for his presentation, at which time he left the meeting.

New/Unfinished Business: Council discussed the request from Bob Baldock regarding amendments to his lease agreement with the municipality. Council agreed to his request to move the large metal container, to increase the floor space available to him in the building, but did not approve his request for a reduction in the deposit amount that forms part of the agreement. Council also agreed to his offer of free internet service to the two fire halls and the municipal hall in exchange for these concessions. As the library receives reimbursement for their internet costs, Mr. Baldock is to contact them directly with a proposal for "in-kind" services for the library.

Council discussed a request from the Fire Chief to have the seatbelts installed in the back of the rescue van. Four are required at a cost of \$690 each, plus HST and installation. The firefighters association has agreed to pay for half of this cost. Council agreed to this proposal.

Delegations: Patricia Holst and Kevin Holst were present and were invited to address Council. Mrs. Holst presented Council with photos and an explanation of some flooding issues that have occurred on their property following a construction project on the property adjacent to theirs. As the CBO is currently away on a course and will not be returning to the office until October 7th Councillor Cybulski suggested that Mr. & Mrs. Holst take a video of any further construction activity that takes place on the adjacent property. Mayor Visneskie will discuss this issue with the CBO and will have someone get back to Mr. & Mrs. Holst on October 7th.

Mr. & Mrs. Holst Council and left the meeting.

New/Unfinished Business:

Councillor O'Reilly requested and received permission to attend the Bridges to Better Business seminar in Renfrew on October 21st.

Adjournment:

Moved by Carl Kuehl
Seconded by John Jeffrey

That this meeting adjourn. Carried.

Mayor

CAO/Clerk-Treasurer