

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

Questions about MPMP results should be addressed to:

Name: Susan Sheridan	Phone: 613-757-2300
Title: Deputy CAO Clerk-Treasurer	
Municipality: Township of Killaloe, Hagarty and Richards	
Email: ssheridan@khrtownship.ca	

Related documents and links:

Local Government

CONTACT PERSON FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT: Susan Sheridan Deputy CAO Clerk-Treasurer

1.1 GENERAL GOVERNMENT - EFFICIENCY

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
1.1 a) Operating costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal operating costs.	19.90%	20.00%	21.8%	20.2%	
1.1 b) Total costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal costs.	16.60%	16.4%	17.6%	16.3%	
OBJECTIVE: Efficient local government.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE:					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets. Financial Information Return: 91 0206 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 0206 45 (Total costs measure). 					

Fire Services

CONTACT PERSON FOR FIRE SERVICES: Fire Chief Bob Gareau

2.1 FIRE SERVICES – EFFICIENCY

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
2.1 a) Operating costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment.	\$0.77	\$0.90	\$ 0.88	\$ 0.42	
2.1 b) Total costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment.	\$0.95	\$1.08	\$ 1.05	\$ 0.61	

OBJECTIVE:
Efficient fire services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 1103 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 1103 45 (Total costs measure).

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

2.2 & 2.3 CIVILIAN FIRE RELATED INJURIES – EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
2.2 Number of residential fire related civilian injuries per 1,000 persons.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
2.3 Number of residential fire related civilian injuries averaged over 5 years per 1,000 persons.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
OBJECTIVE: Minimize the number of civilian injuries in residential fires.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 1151 07 (2.2) and 92 1152 07 (2.3).					

2.4 & 2.5 CIVILIAN FIRE RELATED FATALITIES - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
2.4 Number of residential fire related civilian fatalities per 1,000 persons.	0.000	0.897	2.000	0.000	
2.5 Number of residential fire related civilian fatalities averaged over 5 years per 1,000 persons.	0.833	0.000	0.000	0.000	
OBJECTIVE: Minimize the number of civilian fatalities in residential fires.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 1155 07 (2.4) and 92 1156 07 (2.5).					

2.6 NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL STRUCTURAL FIRES – EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
2.6 Number of residential structural fires per 1,000 households.	1.215	1.215	4	1	
OBJECTIVE: Minimize the number of residential structural fires.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 1160 07.					

Police Services

CONTACT PERSON FOR POLICE SERVICES: Ontario Provincial Police

3.1 POLICE SERVICES – EFFICIENCY

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
3.1 a) Operating costs for police services per person.	\$ 167.21	\$ 170.21	\$ 127.63	\$ 131.17	
3.1 b) Total costs for police services per person.	\$ 167.21	\$ 170.21	\$ 127.63	\$ 131.17	

OBJECTIVE:
Efficient police services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 1204 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 1204 45 (Total costs measure).

3.2 VIOLENT CRIME RATE – EFFECTIVENESS

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
3.2 Violent crime rate per 1,000 persons.	6.661	4.038	8.0	11.2	

OBJECTIVE:
Safe communities.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- Financial Information Return: 92 1258 07.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

3.3 PROPERTY CRIME RATE – EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
3.3 Property crime rate per 1,000 persons.	13.322	10.319	19.2	28.1	
OBJECTIVE: Safe communities.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 1259 07.					

3.4 TOTAL CRIME RATE – EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
3.4 Total crime rate per 1,000 persons (<i>Criminal Code</i> offences, excluding traffic).	22.481	19.291	31.7	48.2	38.6
OBJECTIVE: Safe communities.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 1263 07.					

3.5 YOUTH CRIME RATE – EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
3.5 Youth crime rate per 1,000 youths.	12.987	11.834	91.4	76.1	87.0
OBJECTIVE: Safe communities.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 1265 07.					

Building Permits & Inspection Services

CONTACT PERSON FOR BUILDING PERMITS & INSPECTION SERVICES:

Don Wrigglesworth, CBO

4.1 BUILDING PERMITS & INSPECTION SERVICES – EFFICIENCY

	2013	2012	2011	
4.1 a) Operating costs for building permits and inspection services per \$1,000 of construction activity, averaged over three years (based on permits issued).	\$ 16.87	\$ 12.18	\$ 12.62	
4.1 b) Operating costs for building permits and inspection services per \$1,000 of construction activity, averaged over three years (based on permits issued).	\$ 18.51	\$ 13.77	\$ 12.62	
Total costs, net of interest on long term debt, for building permits and inspection services per \$1,000 of construction activity, averaged over three years, (based on permits issued).				

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient building permits and inspection services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- In 2013, the formula for the denominator of the MPMP efficiency measures for building permits and inspection services was changed to a three year average for total construction activity, divided by \$1,000.
- Financial Information Return: 91 1302 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 1302 45 (Total costs measure).

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

4.2 REVIEW OF COMPLETE BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATIONS – EFFECTIVENESS			
	2013	2012	2011
4.2 Median number of days to review a complete building permit application and issue a permit or not issue a permit, and provide all reasons for refusal:			
a) Category 1: Houses (houses not exceeding 3 storeys/600 square metres). Reference: provincial standard is 10 working days.	4	5	4
b) Category 2: Small Buildings (small commercial/industrial not exceeding 3 storeys/600 square metres). Reference: provincial standard is 15 working days.	10	5	10
c) Category 3: Large Buildings (large residential/commercial/ industrial/ institutional). Reference: provincial standard is 20 working days.	0	0	0
d) Category 4: Complex buildings (post disaster buildings, including hospitals, power/water, fire/police/EMS, communications). Reference: provincial standard is 30 working days.	0	0	0
OBJECTIVE: Complete building permit applications are processed quickly and accurately.			
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:			
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The effectiveness measure reporting the number of working days to review complete building permit applications was introduced in 2011. • Financial Information Return: 			

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

4.3 Building Permits and Inspection Services - Effectiveness		
Category 1: Houses		
(houses not exceeding 3 storeys/600 square metres)		
	2013	
4.3 a) The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the municipality as complete applications .	4	
4.3 a) The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the municipality as complete applications .	100%	
4.3 b) The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the municipality as incomplete applications .	0	
4.3 b) The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the municipality as incomplete applications .	0%	
4.3 c) The subtotal for the number of complete and incomplete building permit applications.	4	
4.3 c) The subtotal for the number of complete and incomplete building permit applications.	4	
OBJECTIVE:		
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:		
REFERENCE:		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In 2013, effectiveness measures were introduced that record the number and percentage of complete and incomplete building permit applications, by category. • Financial Information Return: 92 1356 05, 92 1356 06, 92 1356 07, 92 1356 09, 92 1356 10. 		

4.3 Building Permits and Inspection Services - Effectiveness		
Category 2:		
Small Buildings (small commercial/industrial not exceeding 3 storeys/600 square metres)		
	2013	
4.3 a) The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the municipality as complete applications .	10	
4.3 a) The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the municipality as complete applications .	100%	
4.3 b) The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the municipality as incomplete applications .	0	
4.3 b) The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the municipality as incomplete applications .	0%	
4.3 c) The subtotal for the number of complete and incomplete building permit applications.	10	
4.3 c) The subtotal for the number of complete and incomplete building permit applications.	10	
OBJECTIVE:		
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:		
REFERENCE:		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In 2013, effectiveness measures were introduced that record the number and percentage of complete and incomplete building permit applications, by category. • Financial Information Return: 92 1357 05, 92 1357 06, 92 1357 07, 92 1357 09, 92 1357 10. 		

4.3 Building Permits and Inspection Services - Effectiveness		
Category 3:		
Large Buildings (large residential/commercial/ industrial/ institutional)		
	2013	
4.3 a) The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the municipality as complete applications .	0	
4.3 a) The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the municipality as complete applications .	0.0%	
4.3 b) The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the municipality as incomplete applications .	0	
4.3 b) The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the municipality as incomplete applications .	0.0%	
4.3 c) The subtotal for the number of complete and incomplete building permit applications.	0	
OBJECTIVE:		
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:		
REFERENCE:		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In 2013, effectiveness measures were introduced that record the number and percentage of complete and incomplete building permit applications, by category. • Financial Information Return: 92 1358 05, 92 1358 06, 92 1358 07, 92 1358 09, 92 1358 10. 		

4.3 Building Permits and Inspection Services - Effectiveness		
Category 4: Complex buildings (post disaster buildings, including hospitals, power/water, fire/police/EMS, communications)		
	2013	
4.3 a) The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the municipality as complete applications .	0	
4.3 a) The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the municipality as complete applications .	0.0%	
4.3 b) The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the municipality as incomplete applications .	0	
4.3 b) The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the municipality as incomplete applications .	0.0%	
4.3 c) The subtotal for the number of complete and incomplete building permit applications.	0	
OBJECTIVE:		
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:		
REFERENCE:		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In 2013, effectiveness measures were introduced that record the number and percentage of complete and incomplete building permit applications, by category. • Financial Information Return: 92 1359 05, 92 1359 06, 92 1359 07, 92 1359 09, 92 1359 10. 		

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

	2013	
4.4 The total number of building permit applications submitted and accepted by the municipality (all categories)	14	
OBJECTIVE:		
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:		
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Introduced in 2013.• Financial Information Return: 92 1360 10.		

Roads

CONTACT PERSON FOR ROADS: Works Superintendent Clifford Yantha

5.1 PAVED ROADS – EFFICIENCY

	2013	2012	2011	2010	
5.1 a) Operating costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre. ¹	\$ 605.83	\$ 784.82	\$ 487.91	\$ 688.43	
5.1 b) Total costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre.	\$ 2,351.38	\$ 2,488.41	\$ 2,200.34	\$ 1,364.64	

OBJECTIVE:
Efficient maintenance of paved roads.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- ¹ The formulas for efficiency measures for paved roads were revised in 2010 to net out revenue received from utilities for utility cut repairs.
- The total cost measure was also revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 2111 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2111 45 (Total costs measure).

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

5.2 UNPAVED ROADS – EFFICIENCY					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
5.2 a) Operating costs for unpaved (loose top) roads per lane kilometre.	\$ 2,170.59	\$ 1,732.21	\$ 1,881.25	\$ 1,536.36	\$ 1,806.74
5.2 b) Total costs for unpaved (loose top) roads per lane kilometre.	\$ 3,110.64	\$ 2,672.26	\$ 2,828.62	\$ 3,149.44	
OBJECTIVE: Efficient maintenance of unpaved roads.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets. Financial Information Return: 91 2110 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2110 45 (Total costs measure). 					

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

5.3 BRIDGES AND CULVERTS – EFFICIENCY					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
5.3 a) Operating costs for bridges and culverts per square metre of surface area.	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
5.3 b) Total costs for bridges and culverts per square metre of surface area.	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
OBJECTIVE: Efficient maintenance of bridges and culverts.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets. Financial Information Return: 91 2130 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2130 45 (Total costs measure). 					

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

5.4 WINTER MAINTENANCE OF ROADS – EFFICIENCY					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
5.4 a) Operating costs for winter maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre maintained in winter.	\$ 955.32	\$ 658.49	\$ 685.04	\$ 658.89	\$ 590.23
5.4 b) Total costs for winter maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre maintained in winter.	\$ 955.32	\$ 658.49	\$ 685.04	\$ 658.89	
OBJECTIVE: Efficient winter maintenance of roads.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets. Financial Information Return: 91 2205 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2205 45 (Total costs measure). 					

5.5 ADEQUACY OF PAVED ROADS – EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
5.5 Percentage of paved lane kilometres where the condition is rated as good to very good. ¹	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
OBJECTIVE: Pavement condition meets municipal objectives.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ¹ Pavement condition is rated using a Pavement Condition Index (PCI) such as the Index used by the Ontario Good Roads Association (OGRA) or the Ministry of Transportation's Roads Inventory Management System (RIMS). Financial Information Return: 92 2152 07. 					

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

5.6 ADEQUACY OF BRIDGES AND CULVERTS – EFFECTIVENESS						
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
5.6	Percentage of bridges and culverts where the condition is rated as good to very good. ¹	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OBJECTIVE: Safe bridges and culverts.						
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:						
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The effectiveness measure for bridges and culverts was introduced in 2009. • ¹ A bridge or culvert is rated as being in good to very good condition if distress to the primary components is minimal, requiring only maintenance. Primary components are the main load carrying components of the structure, including the deck, beams, girders, abutments, foundations, etc. <hr/> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Information Return: 92 2165 07. 						

5.7 WINTER EVENT RESPONSES – EFFECTIVENESS						
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
5.7	Percentage of winter events where the response met or exceeded locally determined municipal service levels for road maintenance.	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
OBJECTIVE: Response to winter storm events meets locally determined service levels for winter road maintenance.						
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:						
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Information Return: 92 2251 07. 						

Conventional Transit

CONTACT PERSON FOR TRANSIT: N/A

6.1 CONVENTIONAL TRANSIT – EFFICIENCY

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
6.1 a) Operating costs for conventional transit per regular service passenger trip.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
6.1 b) Total costs for conventional transit per regular service passenger trip.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient conventional transit services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.

- Financial Information Return: 91 2203 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2203 45 (Total costs measure).

6.2 CONVENTIONAL TRANSIT RIDERSHIP – EFFECTIVENESS

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
6.2 Number of conventional transit passenger trips per person in the service area in a year.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

OBJECTIVE:

Maximum utilization of municipal transit services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- Financial Information Return: 92 2351 07.

Wastewater (Sewage)

CONTACT PERSON FOR WASTEWATER: Ontario Clean Water Agency

7.1 WASTEWATER COLLECTION/CONVEYANCE – EFFICIENCY

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
7.1 a) Operating costs for the collection/conveyance of wastewater per kilometre of wastewater main.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7.1 b) Total costs for the collection/conveyance of wastewater per kilometre of wastewater main.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

OBJECTIVE:
Efficient municipal wastewater collection/conveyance.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3111 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3111 45 (Total costs measure).

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

7.2 WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL – EFFICIENCY					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
7.2 a) Operating costs for the treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre.	\$ 1,214.51	\$ 1,423.78	\$ 1,157.71	\$ 1,148.34	\$ 1,293.71
7.2 b) Total costs for the treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre.	\$ 1,214.51	\$ 1,423.78	\$ 1,157.71	\$ 1,148.34	
OBJECTIVE: Efficient municipal wastewater treatment and disposal.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets. • Financial Information Return: 91 3112 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3112 45 (Total costs measure). 					

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

7.3 WASTEWATER INTEGRATED SYSTEM – EFFICIENCY					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
7.3 a) Operating costs for the collection/conveyance, treatment, and disposal of wastewater per megalitre (integrated system).	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7.3 b) Total costs for the collection/conveyance, treatment, and disposal of wastewater per megalitre (integrated system).	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
OBJECTIVE: Efficient municipal wastewater system (integrated system).					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets. Financial Information Return: 91 3113 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3113 45 (Total costs measure). 					

7.4 WASTEWATER MAIN BACKUPS – EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
7.4 Number of wastewater main backups per 100 kilometres of wastewater main in a year.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
OBJECTIVE: Municipal sewage management practices prevent environmental and human health hazards.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Information Return: 92 3154 07. 					

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

7.5 WASTEWATER BYPASSES TREATMENT – EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
7.5 Percentage of wastewater estimated to have by-passed treatment.	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%
OBJECTIVE: Municipal sewage management practices prevent environmental and human health hazards.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 3155 07.					

Storm Water

CONTACT PERSON FOR STORM WATER:

8.1 URBAN STORM WATER MANAGEMENT – EFFICIENCY					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
8.1 a) Operating costs for urban storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage system.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8.1 b) Total costs for urban storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage system.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
OBJECTIVE: Efficient urban storm water management.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets. • Financial Information Return: 91 3209 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3209 45 (Total costs measure). 					

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

8.2 RURAL STORM WATER MANAGEMENT – EFFICIENCY					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
8.2 a) Operating costs for rural storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage system.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8.2 b) Total costs for rural storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage system.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OBJECTIVE: Efficient rural storm water management.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets. Financial Information Return: 91 3210 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3210 45 (Total costs measure). 					

Drinking Water

CONTACT PERSON FOR DRINKING WATER: Ontario Clean Water Agency

9.1 DRINKING WATER TREATMENT – EFFICIENCY

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
9.1 a) Operating costs for the treatment of drinking water per megalitre.	\$ 6,764.05	\$ 3,687.12	\$ 2,920.75	\$ 4,045.06	
9.1 b) Total costs for the treatment of drinking water per megalitre.	\$ 13,070.84	\$ 7,479.40	\$ 5,916.48	\$ 7,910.52	

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient municipal water treatment services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3311 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3311 45 (Total costs measure).

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

9.2 DRINKING WATER DISTRIBUTION/TRANSMISSION – EFFICIENCY					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
9.2 a) Operating costs for the distribution/transmission of drinking water per kilometre of water distribution pipe.	\$ 320.16	\$ 1,369.68	\$ 928.20	\$ 1,324.88	
9.2 b) Total costs for the distribution/transmission of drinking water per kilometre of water distribution pipe.	\$ 320.16	\$ 1,369.68	\$ 928.20	\$ 1,324.88	
OBJECTIVE: Efficient municipal water distribution/transmission services.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets. • Financial Information Return: 91 3312 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3312 45 (Total costs measure). 					

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

9.3 DRINKING WATER INTEGRATED SYSTEM – EFFICIENCY					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
9.3 a) Operating costs for the treatment and distribution/transmission of drinking water per megalitre (integrated system).	\$ 7,185.32	\$ 4,899.35	N/A	N/A	N/A
9.3 b) Total costs for the treatment and distribution/transmission of drinking water per megalitre (integrated system).	\$ 13,492.11	\$ 8,511.62	N/A	N/A	
OBJECTIVE: Efficient municipal water system (integrated system).					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets. Financial Information Return: 91 3313 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3313 45 (Total costs measure). 					

9.4 BOIL WATER ADVISORIES – EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
9.4 Weighted number of days when a boil water advisory issued by the medical officer of health, applicable to a municipal water supply, was in effect.	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
OBJECTIVE: Water is safe and meets local needs.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Information Return: 92 3355 07. 					

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

9.5 BREAKS IN WATER MAINS – EFFECTIVENESS						
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
9.5	Number of water main breaks per 100 kilometres of water distribution pipe in a year.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
OBJECTIVE: Improve system reliability.						
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:						
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Information Return: 92 3356 07. 						

Solid Waste Management (Garbage)

CONTACT PERSON FOR SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT:

10.1 GARBAGE COLLECTION – EFFICIENCY					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
10.1 a) Operating costs for garbage collection per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$ 11.32	\$ 11.83	\$ 10.53	\$ 10.24	\$ 9.22
10.1 b) Total costs for garbage collection per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$ 11.32	\$ 11.83	\$ 10.53	\$ 10.24	
OBJECTIVE: Efficient municipal garbage collection services.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets. • Financial Information Return: 91 3404 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3404 45 (Total costs measure). 					

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

10.2 GARBAGE DISPOSAL – EFFICIENCY					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
10.2 a) Operating costs for garbage disposal per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$ 147.81	\$ 175.20	\$ 140.46	\$ 193.73	\$ 124.38
10.2 b) Total costs for garbage disposal per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$ 159.68	\$ 187.08	\$ 154.56	\$ 207.83	
OBJECTIVE: Efficient municipal garbage disposal services.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets. Financial Information Return: 91 3504 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3504 45 (Total costs measure). 					

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

10.3 SOLID WASTE DIVERSION (RECYCLING) – EFFICIENCY					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
10.3 a) Operating costs for solid waste diversion per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$ 51.09	\$ 45.61	\$ 48.26	\$ 45.13	
10.3 b) Total costs for solid waste diversion per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$ 51.09	\$ 45.61	\$ 48.26	\$ 45.13	
OBJECTIVE: Efficient solid waste diversion (recycling) services.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE:					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets. 					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Information Return: 91 3606 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3606 45 (Total costs measure). 					

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

10.4 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT (INTEGRATED SYSTEM) – EFFICIENCY					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
10.4 a) Average operating costs for solid waste management (collection, disposal and diversion) per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$ 210.22	\$ 232.65	\$ 199.26	\$ 249.10	
10.4 b) Average total costs for solid waste management (collection, disposal and diversion) per tonne or per household. (Specify)	\$ 222.10	\$ 244.52	\$ 213.36	\$ 263.20	
OBJECTIVE: Efficient solid waste management (integrated system).					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets. Financial Information Return: 91 3607 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3607 45 (Total costs measure). 					

10.5 COMPLAINTS – COLLECTION OF GARBAGE AND RECYCLED MATERIALS EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
10.5 Number of complaints received in a year concerning the collection of garbage and recycled materials per 1,000 households.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0
OBJECTIVE: Improved collection of garbage and recycled materials.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Information Return: 92 3452 07. 					

10.6 NUMBER OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
10.6 Total number of solid waste management facilities owned by the municipality with a Ministry of Environment certificate of approval.	3	3	3	3	3
OBJECTIVE: Context for solid waste management facility compliance measure.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

REFERENCE:

- Financial Information Return: 92 3552 07.

10.7 FACILITY COMPLIANCE – EFFECTIVENESS

10.7 Number of days per year when a Ministry of Environment compliance order for remediation concerning an air or groundwater standard was in effect for a municipally owned solid waste management facility, by facility.

FIR line #	Facility Name	Days 2013	Days 2012	Days 2011	Days 2010	Days 2009
3553	RED ROCK	0	0	0	0	0
3554	ROUND LAKE	0	0	0	0	0
3555	KILLALOE	0	0	0	0	0
3556						
3557						
3558						
3559						
3560						
3561						
3562	Please delete unused rows.					

List facilities in the order they appear in the 2013 Financial Information Return (FIR).

OBJECTIVE:

Municipal solid waste services do not have an adverse impact on environment.

REFERENCE:

- Facility Name: 92 3553 03 to 92 3562 03 in Financial Information Return.
- Days: 92 3553 07 to 92 3562 07.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

10.8 DIVERSION OF RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE EFFECTIVENESS						
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
10.8	Percentage of residential solid waste diverted for recycling.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OBJECTIVE: Municipal solid waste reduction programs divert waste from landfills and/or incinerators.						
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:						
REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 3655 07.						

10.9 DIVERSION OF RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE (Based on Combined Residential and Industrial/Commercial/Institutional Tonnage) EFFECTIVENESS						
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
10.9	Percentage of residential solid waste diverted for recycling (based on combined residential and ICI tonnage).	48.5%	38.3%	37%	39%	39%
OBJECTIVE: Municipal solid waste reduction programs divert waste from landfills and/or incinerators.						
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:						
REFERENCE: • ICI means Industrial/Commercial/Institutional. • Financial Information Return: 92 3656 07.						

Parks and Recreation

CONTACT PERSON FOR PARKS AND RECREATION:

11.1 PARKS – EFFICIENCY					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
11.1 a) Operating costs for parks per person.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
11.1 b) Total costs for parks per person.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
OBJECTIVE: Efficient operation of parks.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets. Financial Information Return: 91 7103 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7103 45 (Total costs measure). 					

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

11.2 RECREATION PROGRAMS – EFFICIENCY					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
11.2 a) Operating costs for recreation programs per person.	\$ 1.94	\$ 1.58	\$ 1.57	\$ 1.47	\$ 3.33
11.2 b) Total costs for recreation programs per person.	\$ 1.94	\$ 1.58	\$ 1.57	\$ 1.47	
OBJECTIVE: Efficient operation of recreation programs.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE:					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets. 					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Information Return: 91 7203 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7203 45 (Total costs measure). 					

11.3 RECREATION FACILITIES – EFFICIENCY					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
11.3 a) Operating costs for recreation facilities per person.	\$ 74.27	\$ 45.92	\$ 43.64	\$ 23.76	\$ 20.43
11.3 b) Total costs for recreation facilities per person.	\$ 86.22	\$ 58.80	\$ 56.46	\$ 31.57	
OBJECTIVE: Efficient operation of recreation facilities.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE:					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets. 					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Information Return: 91 7306 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7306 45 (Total costs measure). 					

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

11.4 RECREATION PROGRAMS AND RECREATION FACILITIES (SUBTOTAL)					
EFFICIENCY					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
11.4 a) Operating costs for recreation programs and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal).	\$ 76.21	\$ 47.50	\$ 45.21	\$ 25.23	\$ 23.76
11.4 b) Total costs for recreation programs and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal).	\$ 88.16	\$ 60.38	\$ 58.03	\$ 33.04	
OBJECTIVE: Efficient operation of recreation programs and facilities.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE:					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets. 					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Information Return: 91 7320 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7320 45 (Total costs measure). 					

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

11.5 TRAILS – EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
11.5 Total kilometres of trails.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
11.5 Total kilometres of trails per 1,000 persons.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OBJECTIVE: Trails provide recreation opportunities.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 7152 05 and 92 7152 07.					

11.6 OPEN SPACE – EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
11.6 Hectares of open space (municipally owned).	10	10	10	10	10
11.6 Hectares of open space per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.2
OBJECTIVE: Open space is adequate for population.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 7155 05 and 92 7155 07.					

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

11.7 PARTICIPANT HOURS FOR RECREATION PROGRAMS EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
11.7 Total participant hours for recreation programs per 1,000 persons.	355.5	161.5	161.5	160.6	160.6
OBJECTIVE: Recreation programs serve needs of residents.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 7255 07.					

11.8 INDOOR RECREATION FACILITY SPACE – EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
11.8 Square metres of indoor recreation facilities (municipally owned).	163	175	175	175	
11.8 Square metres of indoor recreation facilities per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).	163	175	174.9	175.0	
OBJECTIVE: Indoor recreation facility space is adequate for population.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 7356 05 and 92 7356 07.					

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

11.9 OUTDOOR RECREATION FACILITY SPACE – EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
11.9 Square metres of outdoor recreation facility space (municipally owned).	2,785	2,785	2,785	2,785	
11.9 Square metres of outdoor recreation facility space per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).	1,159.5	1,249.4	1,242.7	1,242.7	
OBJECTIVE: Outdoor recreation facility space is adequate for population.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 7359 05 and 92 7359 07.					

Libraries

CONTACT PERSON FOR LIBRARIES: NICOLE ZUMMACH, LIBRARIAN

12.1 LIBRARY COSTS PER PERSON – EFFICIENCY

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
12.1 a) Operating costs for library services per person.	\$ 28.88	\$ 29.62	\$ 27.06	\$ 28.80	\$ 20.83
12.1 b) Total costs for library services per person.	\$ 28.88	\$ 29.62	\$ 27.06	\$ 28.80	

OBJECTIVE:
Efficient library services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 7405 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7405 45 (Total costs measure).

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

12.2 LIBRARY COSTS PER USE – EFFICIENCY					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
12.2 a) Operating costs for library services per use. ¹	\$ 1.76	\$ 1.73	\$ 1.59	\$ 1.70	\$ 1.12
12.2 b) Total costs for library services per use.	\$ 1.76	\$ 1.73	\$ 1.59	\$ 1.70	
OBJECTIVE: Efficient library services.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
<p>REFERENCE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets. • ¹ The calculation of electronic library uses was updated in 2009 to include the number of people using the public library wireless connection. In 2011 three additional categories of reference transactions were added to the definition of library uses. This may affect the comparability of 2011 results with earlier years. • Financial Information Return: 91 7406 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7406 45 (Total costs measure). 					

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

12.3 LIBRARY USES – EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
12.3 Library uses per person. ¹	16.38	17.13	17.06	16.93	18.52
OBJECTIVE: Increased use of library services.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE:					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ¹ The calculation of electronic library uses was updated in 2009 to include the number of people using the public library wireless connection. In 2011 three additional categories of reference transactions were added to the definition of library uses. This may affect the comparability of 2011 results with earlier years. 					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Information Return: 92 7460 07. 					
Line numbers for prior years:					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The FIR reference for the measure, library uses per person, did not change in 2009. 					

12.4 ELECTRONIC LIBRARY USES – EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
12.4 Electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses. ¹	12%	13%	11%	11%	27%
OBJECTIVE: Better information on library usage.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE:					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ¹ The calculation of electronic library uses was updated in 2009 to include the number of people using the public library wireless connection. In 2011 three additional categories of reference transactions were added to the definition of library uses. This may affect the comparability of 2011 results with earlier years. 					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Information Return: 92 7463 07. 					

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

12.5 NON - ELECTRONIC LIBRARY USES – EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
12.5 Non-electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses. ¹	88%	87%	89%	89%	73%
OBJECTIVE: Better information on library usage.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE:					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ¹ The calculation of electronic library uses was updated in 2009 to include the number of people using the public library wireless connection. In 2011 three additional categories of reference transactions were added to the definition of library uses. This may affect the comparability of 2011 results with earlier years. Financial Information Return: 92 7462 07. 					

Land Use Planning

CONTACT PERSON FOR LAND USE PLANNING:

13.1 LOCATION OF NEW RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT – EFFECTIVENESS

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
13.1 Percentage of new residential units located within settlement areas.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OBJECTIVE: New residential development is occurring within settlement areas.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 8170 07.					

13.2 PRESERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND DURING REPORTING YEAR EFFECTIVENESS

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
13.2 Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses during the reporting year.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OBJECTIVE: Preservation of agricultural land.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 8163 07.					

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

13.3 PRESERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND RELATIVE TO 2000 EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
13.3 Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses relative to the base year of 2000.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OBJECTIVE: Preservation of agricultural land.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 8164 07.					

13.4 CHANGE IN NUMBER OF AGRICULTURAL HECTARES DURING REPORTING YEAR EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
13.4 Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses during the reporting year.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OBJECTIVE: Preservation of agricultural land.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 8165 07.					

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

13.5 CHANGE IN NUMBER OF AGRICULTURAL HECTARES SINCE 2000 EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
13.5 Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses since January 1, 2000.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OBJECTIVE: Preservation of agricultural land.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:					
REFERENCE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Information Return: 92 8166 07. 					